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Given the dual epidemics of HIV and tuberculosis in sub-Saharan Africa and evidence suggesting a disproportionate burden of these diseases among detainees in the region, we aimed to investigate the epidemiology of HIV and tuberculosis in prison populations, describe services available and challenges to service delivery, and identify priority areas for programmatically relevant research in sub-Saharan African prisons. To this end, we reviewed literature on HIV and tuberculosis in sub-Saharan African prisons published between 2011 and 2015, and identified data from only 24 of the 49 countries in the region. Where data were available, they were frequently of poor quality and rarely nationally representative. Prevalence of HIV infection ranged from 2.3% to 34.9%, and of tuberculosis from 0.4 to 16.3%; detainees nearly always had a higher prevalence of both diseases than did the non-incarcerated population in the same country. We identified barriers to prevention, treatment, and care services in published work and through five case studies of prison health policies and services in Zambia, South Africa, Malawi, Nigeria, and Benin. These barriers included severe financial and human-resource limitations and fragmented referral systems that prevent continuity of care when detainees cycle into and out of prison, or move between prisons. These challenges are set against the backdrop of weak health and criminal-justice systems, high rates of pre-trial detention, and overcrowding. A few examples of promising practices exist, including routine voluntary testing for HIV and screening for tuberculosis upon entry to South African and the largest Zambian prisons, reforms to pre-trial detention in South Africa, integration of mental health services into a health package in selected Malawian prisons, and task sharing to include detainees in care provision through peer-educator programmes in Rwanda, Zimbabwe, Zambia, and South Africa. However, substantial additional investments are required throughout sub-Saharan Africa to develop country-level policy guidance, build human-resource capacity, and strengthen prison health systems to ensure universal access to HIV and tuberculosis prevention, treatment, and care of a standard that meets international goals and human rights obligations.

Background

Countries in sub-Saharan Africa have borne the brunt of the generalised HIV and tuberculosis epidemics, which have strained health systems and devastated populations in the region.12 As reported by Dolan and colleagues1 in another paper in this Series, the prevalence of HIV infection among detainees was 15.6% (95% CI 11.8–19.8%) in east and southern Africa and 8.2% (6.2–10.5) in west and central Africa, suggesting a higher prevalence in prison populations than in non-incarcerated populations. Prevalence of tuberculosis was also extremely high: it was estimated at 15.6% (95% CI 11.8–19.8%) in east and southern Africa and 8.2% (6.2–10.5) in west and central Africa.1

To control the HIV and tuberculosis epidemics and achieve ambitious international targets, countries are called upon to scale up prevention, testing, and treatment for vulnerable groups, including detainees.13 Although incarceration necessarily restricts liberty, detainees have a right to a minimum standard of health care at least equivalent to that in the community,13 including effective services along the entire continuum of HIV and tuberculosis prevention, treatment, and care.

In this Series paper, we provide a descriptive overview of prison populations in sub-Saharan Africa and the epidemiology of HIV and tuberculosis therein; discuss policies and interventions for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of HIV and tuberculosis within these

Key messages

- Despite global commitments to end HIV and tuberculosis, in the fourth decade of the HIV pandemic, most countries in sub-Saharan Africa do not collect or report comprehensive information about the incidence, prevalence, or clinical outcomes of HIV infection and tuberculosis in detainees, even though it is the region most affected by these diseases.
- Where data are available, the prevalences of HIV infection and tuberculosis in detainees in sub-Saharan Africa are almost always greater than those in non-incarcerated populations; detainees should be thought of as a priority population for HIV and tuberculosis interventions.
- Few countries have comprehensive prison HIV or tuberculosis policies or programmes, and, where programmes exist, they frequently cover only some detainees and provide inadequate services.
- Governments, donors, non-governmental organisations, and advocates urgently need to promote policy reform and guideline development to ensure the inclusion of incarcerated populations in national HIV/AIDS and tuberculosis programmes, with care provision and structured supervision consistent with community programmes. Specific requirements set by donors, regional governing bodies, and other multilateral organisations could contribute to advancement of both policy and service delivery to detainees.
- To ensure a data-driven and appropriate public health response, surveillance and quarterly monitoring systems, programme assessment, and operational research should be undertaken to ensure that the health needs of detainees are prioritised, ethically studied, and reported upon.
- Criminal-justice reform and conditions within prisons—including nutrition, substance use, mental health, infrastructure, and ventilation—should be prioritised by governments, donors, and human-rights advocates, and recognised as important areas of research, policy, and programme development.
Overview of methods

Full methods and a full list of search terms are detailed in the appendix. In brief, we reviewed grey and peer-reviewed literature published between Jan 1, 2011, and Dec 31, 2015, to identify available abstracts, publications, and other reports (published in English, French, or Portuguese) on HIV and tuberculosis epidemiology in prison populations in sub-Saharan Africa, and approaches to prevention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment of these diseases. When no data were available after 2011, the most recent literature before 2011 was included instead. We did case studies in five countries (Zambia, South Africa, Malawi, Nigeria, and Benin), which were purposively selected on the basis of regional spread and data availability to examine prison-specific HIV and tuberculosis policies and services in different regions of sub-Saharan Africa.

Prison populations in sub-Saharan Africa

Between 2011 and 2015, the estimated average daily census of detainees in sub-Saharan Africa was around institutional reports. Eight studies included no information about when they were done and 12 were done before 2011 (one in 2007, two in 2008, four in 2009, and five in 2010). In the 40 studies in which time to publication of data could be determined, 32 were published within 2 years, seven within 3–5 years, and one within 7 years.

Nine studies aimed to provide nationally representative prison data; the remainder were subnational, with 13 focusing on individual prisons. Therefore most data cannot be generalised to the country’s total prison population. Different methods and case definitions were used in each study, which prevents easy comparison. In several, inadequate information was provided about the sampling methods, which means that selection bias cannot be fully explored. Some studies were done in a convenience sample or had poor uptake, which could result in selection bias. Routine notification or programme data were used in some, which could have led to underestimation of prevalence, whereas others were done in pursuantly screened populations.

In most tuberculosis studies, a positive symptom screen was needed before participants underwent microbiological testing, which could have led to underestimated prevalence; diagnostic tests with sensitivities less than 100% were used in many, and thus the number of cases could have been under-ascertained. Concurrent sampling of prison and non-incarcerated populations to allow a direct and valid comparison of the prevalence of HIV infection and tuberculosis between these populations was not done in any study. Trends in prevalence cannot be ascertained from the data. These limitations should be considered when using and interpreting the epidemiological data presented in this Series paper.

Panel 1: Limitations of the data presented

The aim of this Series paper is to provide an overview of the epidemiology of HIV and tuberculosis in prison populations in sub-Saharan Africa, the services available and challenges to service delivery, and priority areas for programatically relevant prisons research. We did not do a systematic review of all available information; rather, we summarise publicly available grey and peer-reviewed literature published in the past 5 years (but also include older work when data were limited or not available). To supplement this information, we sought additional data from experts, which yielded very limited information, did case studies in specifically selected countries, and investigated funding for HIV and tuberculosis programmes within prisons. Detailed epidemiological descriptions of HIV and tuberculosis in prisons in each country of sub-Saharan Africa, and detailed, contemporary information about prison populations, HIV and tuberculosis policies, funding, and services are beyond the scope of this Series paper.

The quality of the epidemiological data included is variable, and should be interpreted with caution. All data identified are presented (appendix) without exclusion. As a systematic review was not done, some data published between 2011 and 2015 might have been missed. For each study included, details about the study period, number of prisons included, sampling methods, screening or diagnostic procedures, and case definitions are in the appendix. When interpreting the data, the generalisability of findings, sample sizes, potential biases, confounding factors that might be unaccounted for, and the comparability of findings with non-incarcerated populations, other studies, and over time should all be considered.

Between 2011 and 2015, there were 48 publications from 24 (of 49) sub-Saharan African countries—19 in peer-reviewed journals, 26 in conference proceedings, and three in
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Prison population (n)</th>
<th>Prisons (n)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Incarcerated per 100 000 population (n)</th>
<th>Pre-trial detainees (%)</th>
<th>Occupancy (%)</th>
<th>Estimated funding from Global Fund for prison HIV and tuberculosis programmes</th>
<th>Total budget (US$)</th>
<th>Proportion of total HIV and tuberculosis funding to the country (%)</th>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Annual funding per detainee (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angola</td>
<td>22 826</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ministry of the Interior</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>47.1%</td>
<td>167%</td>
<td>6% $24 340</td>
<td>November, 2011–August, 2016</td>
<td>$4 68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
<td>7247</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Government of Benin</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>364%</td>
<td>2% $890 652</td>
<td>October, 2010–September, 2015</td>
<td>$24 58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Botswana</td>
<td>3960</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Ministry of the President</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>24.5%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
<td>6251</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>48.0%</td>
<td>171%</td>
<td>1% $47 547</td>
<td>June, 2010–June, 2015</td>
<td>$1 52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
<td>8646</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
<td>214%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameroon</td>
<td>25 914</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>59.9%</td>
<td>138%</td>
<td>20% $4 835 690</td>
<td>August, 2006–December, 2015</td>
<td>$20 73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cape Verde</td>
<td>1434</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>122%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
<td>4831</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>63.4%</td>
<td>232%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>388%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congo</td>
<td>1240</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>483%</td>
<td>&lt;1% $702</td>
<td>January, 2011–December, 2015</td>
<td>$0 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of</td>
<td>21 711</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UN mission</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>82.0%</td>
<td>271%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Congo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Côte d'Ivoire</td>
<td>10 850</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>42.0%</td>
<td>218%</td>
<td>3% $4 163 261</td>
<td>January, 2010–December, 2015</td>
<td>$63 95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djibouti</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>171%</td>
<td>9% $303 122</td>
<td>October, 2013–September, 2015</td>
<td>$252 61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Estimate by Government officials</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td>111 050</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>33.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Gambia</td>
<td>1 121</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>UN Human Rights Rapporteurs</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>22.2%</td>
<td>173%</td>
<td>3% $90 008</td>
<td>July, 2010–December, 2015</td>
<td>$16 06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>14 534</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
<td>147%</td>
<td>4% $740 568</td>
<td>May, 2006–April, 2011</td>
<td>$10 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea</td>
<td>3 110</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>175%</td>
<td>&lt;1% $4 493</td>
<td>February, 2007–January, 2012</td>
<td>$0 29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>Vast majority*</td>
<td>102%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>54 154</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>40.4%</td>
<td>202%</td>
<td>4% $434 360</td>
<td>January, 2011–December, 2015</td>
<td>$1 60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesotho</td>
<td>2 073</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>3% $250 839</td>
<td>October, 2010–March, 2016</td>
<td>$24 16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liberia</td>
<td>1 719</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>83.0%</td>
<td>138%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>18 719</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
<td>181%</td>
<td>1% $20 431</td>
<td>October, 2009–March, 2016</td>
<td>$1 67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malawi</td>
<td>12 156</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
<td>174%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mali</td>
<td>5 009</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>52.8%</td>
<td>222%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritania</td>
<td>1 768</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>102%</td>
<td>15% $12 900 880</td>
<td>September, 2006–August, 2015</td>
<td>$81 08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mauritius</td>
<td>2 137</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>National prison administration</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>41.1%</td>
<td>117%</td>
<td>16% $928 838</td>
<td>January, 2010–June, 2015</td>
<td>$79 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>15 976</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Presidential quote</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>32.9%</td>
<td>195%</td>
<td>&lt;1% $120 676</td>
<td>July, 2008–June, 2017</td>
<td>$0 01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>3 560</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Ministry of Justice</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4% $682 075</td>
<td>October, 2011–September, 2016</td>
<td>$23 95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>7 424</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>US State Department</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52.4%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table continues on next page)
600 000 (table, appendix). On-remand detainees constitute 50% or more of the prison population in 40% of countries. 23 countries reported occupancy of more than 150%. Accounts of prison conditions are scarce and are likely to vary across countries. However, food rationing and poor-quality food (Mwapasa V, College of Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi, personal communication); poor hygiene, water supply, and sanitation (Mwapasa V, College of Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi, personal communication); frequent stockouts of basic drugs, including antibiotics (Mwapasa V, College of Medicine, Blantyre, Malawi, personal communication; unpublished UN Office on Drugs and Crime Data); and physical and psychological abuse have all been reported. Such poor prison conditions—including overcrowding—are inconsistent with the basic principles set forth in the Mandela Rules, could constitute human rights violations, and pose serious risks to individual and public health (panel 2).

Even among the prison population as a whole, access to health care, treatment of prisoners, and prison conditions differ substantially between specific groups. Ethnic minorities, migrants, poor people, foreigners, and socially marginalised populations such as sex workers, people who use drugs, lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) individuals, and on-remand detainees could be at increased risk of abuse, poor conditions, or lack of access to care. Women and juvenile detainees, who have increased and distinct health needs, constitute 5% or less of the prison population in most countries, but often have poorer access to high-quality health care than do male detainees (panel 3).
Epidemiology of HIV and tuberculosis among detainees in sub-Saharan Africa

Data for HIV or tuberculosis in prisons, published between 2011 and 2015, were identified from 24 of 49 sub-Saharan African countries (appendix). Data published before 2011 were available for three other countries. Studies were limited in number, varied in quality, and had differing methods (panel I). Therefore caution is advised when comparing or generalising findings and making inferences from the data.

Most studies consistently showed a higher prevalence of HIV infection and tuberculosis among detainees than among unmatched non-incarcerated populations. Reported prevalence of HIV infection ranged from 2·3% to 34·9% (2·3%–10·8% in west Africa; 4·2%–23·0% in east Africa; and 7·2%–34·9% in southern Africa); tuberculosis prevalence ranged from 0·4% to 16·3% (1·2%–16·3% in west Africa; 0·5%–12·1% in east Africa; and 3·6%–7·6% in southern Africa).

Female sex was associated with prevalent HIV infection in prison; prevalence was also higher in women in prison than in those in the surrounding or non-incarcerated population. Although the reasons for this increased prevalence are unclear, the high background prevalence of HIV infection among younger women or behaviours associated with both incarceration and HIV, such as sex work, could have roles. One cross-sectional study in Zambia showed a higher prevalence among already-incarcerated detainees than among those entering prison. Whether HIV transmission during incarceration or other epidemiological factors contributed to this difference is unclear.

When data were available, a large proportion of tuberculosis cases were in people with HIV (range 5–70%).

Overcrowding, incarceration in windowless cells, and sharing cells with patients with tuberculosis or a chronic cough were associated with increased tuberculosis prevalence among detainees in some studies. Furthermore, in a modelling study in a South African prison, annual tuberculosis transmission risk was estimated to be as high as 90%, suggesting that prisons could be places of high transmission intensity.

The revolving-door effect (appendix)—as a result of detainees, prison personnel, and visitors cycling into and out of prisons—can result in the concentration of HIV and tuberculosis in prisons, and could amplify these diseases in the wider communities into which detainees are released and in which prison personnel live. For example, in a study in Zambia, the total prison population over 6 months was double the average static population (n=1300) for that period, and 24% of detainees entering prison had been previously incarcerated. Several other studies have also shown the high turnover of detainees. Therefore, HIV and tuberculosis control in prisons benefits not only the individual and other detainees, but could also affect control in the community. Additionally, community and prison HIV and tuberculosis programmes face substantial challenges in ensuring appropriate services and continuity of care for detainees upon incarceration and release.

Understanding risk factors for HIV and tuberculosis in sub-Saharan African prisons is essential to the implementation of appropriate prevention interventions and services. The available empirical data do not allow determination of the relative contribution of transmission before and after incarceration to prevalence within prisons. The very limited data available suggest, however, that transmission during both periods might play a part.
Robust studies of the bio-behavioural, social, and structural factors underpinning the risk of HIV and tuberculosis among prison populations in sub-Saharan Africa are needed to answer these questions.

**Tools, approaches, and structural interventions to prevention, screening, diagnosis, and treatment**

International guidelines recommend a package of HIV and tuberculosis interventions for prisons in low-income and middle-income countries.33 The recommended interventions can be organised into three categories: structural approaches to reduce overcrowding, improve tuberculosis infection control, and provide adequate nutrition; prevention and harm-reduction activities, including interventions to reduce transmission of HIV and tuberculosis; and HIV and tuberculosis diagnosis, treatment, and care, which should adhere to national guidelines and be linked operationally to national programmes.

Despite endorsement from regional and international governing bodies,34,35 these interventions are rarely fully available in sub-Saharan African prisons because of a host of financial, policy, and systems-related barriers, including financial constraints,9 inadequate infrastructure,17 laws criminalising sex between men,6 overcrowding,14 absent health-information management systems,20 inadequate infection-control procedures,20 lack of transport to off-site clinics,20 fragmented care due to facility transfers and release back to the community,8 and scarce human resources for health.9

Prison overcrowding is a recognised problem globally,16 and mathematical modelling suggests that implementation of internationally recommended cell-occupancy standards could reduce the annual risk of tuberculosis transmission by 50% in the specific case of South Africa.29 However, there are limited data from sub-Saharan African countries describing the use of structural or criminal-justice interventions to mitigate overcrowding.39 South Africa provides a counter-example: reforms to the pre-trial detention system have helped to reduce overcrowding by creating alternatives to detention for on-demand detainees unable to post bail, including release on warning and the use of electronic monitors.41–43 Partly as a result of these reforms, the South African prison population declined between 2004 and 2014, from 187 036 to 157 170 detainees.44 Another policy change identified in one prison was increasing food rations, which correlated when, and by which detainees) or effectiveness of these preventive measures are unavailable. Condom provision is illegal in prisons in many countries, including Ethiopia,
Malawi, Namibia, Rwanda, Senegal, Swaziland, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. We identified no reports suggesting that pre-exposure prophylaxis is being prepared for implementation in sub-Saharan African prisons at present.

International guidelines state that mandatory HIV testing is a violation of human rights and endorse the availability of voluntary, confidential, on-site HIV counselling and testing for all people within closed settings. Despite potential concerns about stigma, voluntary HIV counselling and testing seems to be accepted by detainees, as shown by several reports of uptake and a study of detainee satisfaction. It is available in prisons in some countries, including Cameroon, Côte d’Ivoire, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Kenya, Malawi, South Africa, Uganda, and Zambia.

Although the availability of antiretroviral therapy (ART) in prisons in sub-Saharan Africa is limited, when combined with comprehensive, voluntary HIV counselling and testing, initiation of ART can, in some cases, occur earlier in prisons than in the community. For example, the median CD4 cell count among male detainees initiating ART in South Africa appears higher than that among men in neighbouring community programmes. Once in care, people who remain in prison can achieve excellent clinical outcomes: viral-load suppression was 93% at 12 months at an on-site treatment clinic and 92% at 96 weeks at an off-site HIV clinic. A description of the HIV treatment continuum in Kenyan prisons suggests that service uptake along the cascade might be similar to that in the community, and is in need of strengthening: 48,535 detainees received HIV counselling and testing and 2,782 (5·7%) received a diagnosis of HIV of whom 1,493 (53·7%) enrolled into HIV care and 505 initiated ART (18·2%).

Frequently set apart from HIV services, tuberculosis prevention activities in prisons have historically involved passive approaches to case detection. By contrast, active case-finding—the aims of which are to systematically screen, diagnose, and treat detainees with tuberculosis early, with the goal of interrupting transmission—has been reported in prisons in Cameroon, Malawi, Nigeria, South Africa, Tanzania, and Zambia. Evidence shows that, with support and funding from implementing partners, mass and active testing symptomatic on-remand and convicted detainees (both new entrants and those incarcerated) identified by the WHO symptom screen were feasible and affordable: 87% of all new entrants and 23% of incarcerated detainees were reached, and costs were similar to those of other screening modalities (US$1513 per case of tuberculosis identified).

Some evidence suggests that detainees in sub-Saharan Africa might experience suboptimal retention along the tuberculosis care continuum. Among 466 detainees beginning tuberculosis treatment at ten regional Ugandan prison health centres, only 222 (48%) completed treatment (202 [43%] were lost to follow-up and 22 [5%] died). A chart review of 202 detainees initiating tuberculosis treatment at one South African prison showed similar challenges: 92 (46%) patients were cured, but 103 (51%) had no ascertainable outcome because they were transferred before treatment completion. Results that less than 50% of patients completed treatment or had documented cure are concerning, and have implications for the health of detainees and the potential development of drug-resistant tuberculosis. Early reports suggested a high prevalence (9·5%) of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in one Zambian prison. However, subsequent studies in Zambia and South Africa have shown a much lower prevalence (1·1% and 1·0%, respectively) similar to general population estimates in sub-Saharan Africa (1·5% prevalence among treatment-naive patients with tuberculosis), suggesting that
There was a notable dearth of sex-disaggregated data describing HIV or tuberculosis treatment outcomes for women and children and age-disaggregated data describing HIV or tuberculosis services. The publication of the Malawi Policy on Tuberculosis Control in Prisons, which incorporates some actions on HIV, demonstrated unusual alignment of political and technical commitment, by recommending provision of entry screening, active case-finding, HIV testing, DOTS, antiretroviral therapy, and treatment follow-up for all post-release detainees with tuberculosis. The National Strategic Plan for Prevention and Control of TB 2015–2020 additionally articulated plans to align tuberculosis registration in Malawi’s five largest prisons with Ministry of Health and National Tuberculosis Control Programme protocols, and to provide tuberculosis and HIV training for prison officers.

Policies and guidelines provide an important framework for planning, financing, and implementation of HIV, tuberculosis, and other essential prison services, yet by themselves are insufficient. In South Africa, despite a well defined package of HIV and tuberculosis service entitlements and comparatively high levels of funding, key informants noted that prison health care remains suboptimal. All South African prisons have internal clinics but these clinics are understaffed. Lack of medical doctors (eight of 48 prison doctors’ posts were filled in June, 2014) and a nursing act that prevents nurses prescribing without authorisation contribute to timelags and bottlenecks in authorisation contribute to timelags and bottlenecks in the breakdown of continuity of care for HIV and tuberculosis as a result of inter-facility transfer and release have been frequently noted. The transition into detention often starts in police detention facilities or holding cells within police stations—facilities that generally lack health services. For individuals already on ART or tuberculosis treatment, or both, who transition into prison, breaks in treatment of as little as several days can have serious adverse consequences, including the development of drug resistance. Within prison and after release from prison, continuation of ART and tuberculosis treatment is essential for sustainment of individual health, prevention of development of drug resistance, and reduction of the risk of transmission to other detainees and the communities into which detainees are released. On the basis of data largely from Europe and North America, retention in HIV or tuberculosis care, or both, after release from prison is thought to be challenging for various psychosocial, health-systems, and structural reasons. In the only sub-Saharan African study in which retention in HIV care after release is discussed, 23 (68%) of 34 detainees visited the same South African HIV clinic at which they received care during incarceration at least once after release.

Prison populations are likely to have a higher prevalence of substance-use and mental health problems than the general population. These issues can compromise HIV and tuberculosis prevention, treatment, and care efforts within prisons through poor adherence to treatment, transsexual sex for drugs, and high-risk sexual behaviours. However, data for South Africa’s prison system serves a prison population larger than any other African country and is administered by a dedicated government ministry (table). Unusually in the African context, South Africa has both policy and stand-alone guidelines outlining a comprehensive package of HIV and tuberculosis prevention, diagnosis, care, and treatment actions (appendix). Malawi also has strong policies addressing prison tuberculosis services. The publication of the Malawi Policy on Tuberculosis Control in Prisons, which incorporates some actions on HIV, demonstrated unusual alignment of political and technical commitment, by recommending provision of entry screening, active case-finding, HIV testing, DOTS, antiretroviral therapy, and treatment follow-up for all post-release detainees with tuberculosis. The National Strategic Plan for Prevention and Control of TB 2015–2020 additionally articulated plans to align tuberculosis registration in Malawi’s five largest prisons with Ministry of Health and National Tuberculosis Control Programme protocols, and to provide tuberculosis and HIV training for prison officers.

Policies and guidelines provide an important framework for planning, financing, and implementation of HIV, tuberculosis, and other essential prison services, yet by themselves are insufficient. In South Africa, despite a well defined package of HIV and tuberculosis service entitlements and comparatively high levels of funding, key informants noted that prison health care remains suboptimal. All South African prisons have internal clinics but these clinics are understaffed. Lack of medical doctors (eight of 48 prison doctors’ posts were filled in June, 2014) and a nursing act that prevents nurses prescribing without authorisation contribute to timelags and bottlenecks in
prevalence and strategies to address these issues are scarce. Substance use in the past month (mostly cannabis or alcohol) has been reported by about 5% of detainees in Nigeria and Kenya. In a South African study in which urine testing was done, either cannabis or methaqualone was detected among 45% of detainees at the time of police arrest. Although anecdotal reports describe the use of injection drugs in sub-Saharan African prisons, the frequency is unknown, but appears low. Depressive and anxiety disorders have been reported in a large proportion of detainees in several studies. Despite the probable burden of these comorbidities, we identified only one description of mental health activities (in Malawi) and one drug harm-reduction programme (in Mauritius) in prisons.

The lack of sufficient numbers of health workers and training to provide HIV and tuberculosis treatment and other services is a severe constraint on delivering care in sub-Saharan African prisons. Task-sharing and involving detainees themselves in health-service delivery could help to overcome some gaps in the system. Reports from Rwanda, South Africa, Zambia, and Zimbabwe highlight the role of inmate peer educators in the provision of a host of services, including health education, psychosocial support, symptom screening and sputum collection for tuberculosis, referral for HIV testing, and social mobilisation for uptake of health services. Sustainment of these programmes necessitates dedicated financing, ongoing training and peer-to-peer mentoring to maintain the cadre, and training of prison personnel to supervise and support peer educators.

HIV and tuberculosis prevention, care, and treatment policies, and availability of services

Few sub-Saharan African countries have comprehensive policies in place guiding the implementation of HIV and tuberculosis prevention, care, and treatment activities in prisons. The appendix shows differing progress in this field, with summaries of the state of HIV and tuberculosis policies for detainees in five countries. Whereas South Africa has fully developed prison guidelines for tuberculosis, HIV, and sexually transmitted infections that outline a comprehensive package of interventions specific to detainees, prisons in Benin, Zambia, and Nigeria remain dependent on guidelines developed for the general community, with little or no reference to the epidemiological or structural particularities of prison populations. Malawi has a specific policy for tuberculosis management in prisons, but not for HIV or other sexually transmitted infections.

Policies provide clarity, direction, and normative standards to guide planning and service implementation and help to hold government institutions accountable. But, as shown by experiences in South Africa and Malawi (panel 4), comprehensive policies are not a guarantee of service implementation or operational efficacy (appendix). As demonstrated by Zambia and Benin (panel 5), innovations to improve HIV and tuberculosis care in prisons are possible despite weak or absent policies, but will probably remain limited in their sustainability and strategic impact (appendix). In many countries, NGOs often make a large and unmeasured contribution to essential services.

Panel 5: Zambia and Benin—working outside the box

Benin and Zambia have small absolute numbers of detainees by international standards but their prisons are severely overcrowded (table). Such conditions pose particular risks in relation to the spread of tuberculosis and HIV, and, in the absence of prison-specific policies, the evolution of HIV and tuberculosis care in prisons in these countries has been iterative (appendix).

Key informants report that health care in Benin’s nine prisons is delivered by a small team of under-resourced nurses employed by the prison authority, La Direction de l’Administration Pénitentiaire et de l’Assistante Social (DPAS). In recognition of the potential health threat posed by overcrowding in 2010, the country’s national tuberculosis programme, Programme National contre la Tuberculose, Bénin (PNT, Bénin), recruited five new nurses, one at each of the five largest prisons. The nurses were tasked with providing HIV and tuberculosis counselling, conducting tuberculosis case-finding, collecting sputum samples, recording results, and overseeing referrals to PNT-run basic management units. Detainees with confirmed tuberculosis were also tested and treated for HIV. The nurses additionally provided general support to DPAS health staff.

In December, 2014, all five PNT-recruited nurses were absorbed (ie, employed and deployed by the Ministry of Health) in a national recruiting round, and, because of cost and supervisory complications, not replaced. In 2015, the PNT, Bénin announced a new policy to train DPAS nurses to do the same tasks. According to key informants, however, the capacity of existing staff to absorb the full suite of HIV and tuberculosis activities in prisons remains limited. So far, no specific policies for prison health, or prison HIV and tuberculosis services are being developed.

17 of Zambia’s 87 prisons have internal health clinics. With only 34 health professionals employed (as of January, 2015), these clinics remain poorly staffed and resource. At the central level, inadequate prison-health financing hampers implementation of health-workforce planning and limits health surveillance and monitoring. Despite these and other policy barriers, Zambia has made some gains in prison-based HIV and tuberculosis care and treatment. Funded by the TB Reach initiative of the Stop TB Partnership, the Zambia Correctional Service and the national tuberculosis programme in 2011 worked with non-governmental organisations to optimise HIV and tuberculosis detection among detainees, prison staff, and the communities in and around six of the prisons with the heaviest tuberculosis burden. The same project also reinvigorated efforts to institute routine tuberculosis screening at entry and train a cadre of prison peer educators in several facilities. Under one partner-supported project, testing for tuberculosis with Xpert MTB/RIF (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) has been introduced in five Zambian prison clinics, along with protocols and training for staff. Concurrently, a second partner project supported the Zambia Correctional Service to form the first 11 prison health committees, comprising both officers and detainees, with a mandate to do facility-based needs assessments, basic service planning, and data collection.

International donor funding for HIV and tuberculosis services within prisons

Estimation of total national funding for prevention and treatment services for HIV and tuberculosis in prisons is complicated by multiple funding sources and frequent lack of transparency in the reporting of funding. Funding can...
A policy, service-delivery, and research agenda for detainee health in sub-Saharan African prisons

Provision of HIV and tuberculosis prevention and care services for detained populations is not only a human right, but also crucial for overall disease prevention and improved population health. To understand and address the gaps in HIV and tuberculosis services in prisons, political will, leadership, operationally relevant research, and long-term funding are needed to enable implementation of crucial programmes. Greater transparency and accountability are also needed to ensure that interventions and reforms are properly implemented and detainee rights are respected.

Reflecting the low political priority of detainees as a group, prison-specific policy guidance and adequate sustained funding for health-service delivery in prisons are absent in many sub-Saharan African countries—an issue that needs to be urgently addressed. Additionally, donors and governments have an obligation to report funding transparently, and should support efforts to track detainee health funding from domestic and international sources to ensure comprehensive coverage of prevention and treatment programmes.

Although specific interventions for HIV and tuberculosis are important, an overall health-systems-strengthening approach is required in prisons to address the pervasive barriers of poor infrastructure, shortages of human resources for health, scarce medical supplies, and inadequate information systems. Specific reforms include the adoption of a harmonised, intersectoral approach to recruitment, supervision, and remuneration of prison health professionals and the inclusion of prisons in quarterly facility-based reviews by community HIV and tuberculosis programmes. Such actions could form the basis for implementation of comprehensive, integrated screening, diagnosis, and treatment services for HIV and tuberculosis—as well as nutrition, substance-use, and mental health services—within a primary care framework, tailored to the prison context, and linked to community services. Services provided by NGOs should also be integrated into, and aligned with, prison and mainstream health systems to promote local ownership and ensure a continuum of care.

Criminal-justice reforms that address policies or practices that limit bail and reduce long delays in access to courts would probably reduce exposure to, and incidence of, disease. Limitation of arbitrary and extended pre-trial detention is a cost-effective criminal justice measure, as are large-scale interventions such as release of people detained for minor, non-violent offences. Interventions such as the adoption of a harmonised, intersectoral approach to recruitment, supervision, and remuneration of prison health professionals and the inclusion of prisons in quarterly facility-based reviews by community HIV and tuberculosis programmes. Such actions could form the basis for implementation of comprehensive, integrated screening, diagnosis, and treatment services for HIV and tuberculosis—as well as nutrition, substance-use, and mental health services—within a primary care framework, tailored to the prison context, and linked to community services. Services provided by NGOs should also be integrated into, and aligned with, prison and mainstream health systems to promote local ownership and ensure a continuum of care.
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civil society to raise attention and apply political pressure for reform. Where improvements in prevention and treatment services have occurred, advocacy or legal action, or both, have frequently been instrumental (eg, Botswana withheld ART from non-citizen detainees until a constitutional court overturned this policy).16 South Africa expanded HIV and tuberculosis services in prisons in response to legal action.

Optimal prevention and treatment strategies are best implemented when informed by regularly updated epidemiological and programmatic data. These data should inform priority health-service needs, service-implementation strategies, and guidelines within countries. The transparency of these data will enable best practices in the region to be shared. Priority programme and research questions that could guide the evidence-based implementation of services within prisons include sensitive entry and mass-screening algorithms for tuberculosis with universal access to diagnostic testing; the feasibility, uptake, and completion of isoniazid preventive therapy with or without ART and effects on tuberculosis epidemiology; and the burden and causes of mental health and substance-use problems and their association with HIV and tuberculosis epidemiology and clinical outcomes. Additional robust evidence of longitudinal clinical outcomes and linkage to and retention in high-quality treatment services for HIV and tuberculosis for prison populations was notably lacking. Implementation and assessment of new strategies are urgently needed to reinforce a continuum of care for detainees at incarceration, during inter-facility transfer, and after release. Additionally, it is important to understand the differing needs of ethnic minorities, children, migrants, LGBT people, people with disabilities, and people who use drugs in prisons, and to ensure effective and tailored services.

Conclusion

Good-quality data for HIV and tuberculosis in prisons in sub-Saharan Africa are rare; recent (ie, in the past 5 years) research is lacking in more than half the countries, and capacity to determine national estimates or monitor trends is limited. Available data suggest inadequate health services incommensurate with high disease burden. Funding is minimal, and policies guiding service implementation are often missing. Although some promising practices exist, increased political commitment and dedicated resources are needed to ensure universal access to high-quality prevention, treatment, and care of HIV and tuberculosis for detainees in sub-Saharan Africa.
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